Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Institution Profile

(Data Source: Ohio Northern University)

Ohio Northern University is an independent University located in Ada, Ohio. The University comprises five colleges: Arts & Sciences, Business Administration, Engineering, Pharmacy and Law. Since our inception in 1871, we've been devoted to developing students who are ready to progress to the next level in everything they do. We've most recently been recognized for teaching quality, high graduation rates, commitment to volunteer service and, most impressively, providing a high return on investment for our graduates.

Center for Teacher Education

The Center for Teacher Education is an inter-college unit. The Center is a community of dedicated faculty committed to providing quality instruction in the knowledge of the learner, knowledge of the discipline, and knowledge of pedagogy. When these areas of knowledge are integrated, the end result is an enabling teacher who can prepare students with diverse backgrounds and abilities to contribute both individually and cooperatively to the common good of the larger society.

Report Overview

The Ohio Department of Higher Education gathers data annually from multiple sources to report the following performance metrics in the Educator Preparation Provider Performance Reports:

- Ohio Teacher Evaluation System Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Ohio Principal Evaluation System Results for for Ohio Principals Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Field and Clinical Experiences Required by Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Candidates
- Licensure Test Results for Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Program Completers
- Value-added Data for K-12 Students Taught by Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider
- Demographic Information for Schools in Which Ohio Educator Preparation Provider-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve
- Academic Measures Used to Inform Admissions Decisions at Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Pre-Service Teacher Candidates Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Ohio Resident Educators Who Were Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers
- Survey Results of Ohio Principal Interns Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Mentors Serving Principal Interns Enrolled in Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Survey Results of Employer Perceptions of Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Programs
- Ohio Educator Preparation Provider National Accreditation Status
- Persistence in the Ohio Resident Educator Program of Teachers Who Were Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers
- Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Results for Ohio Teachers Prepared by an Ohio Educator Preparation Provider at Ohio Northern University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating teachers (Ohio's Teacher Evaluation System) provides educators with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement. The system is research-based and designed to be transparent, fair, and adaptable to the specific contexts of Ohio's school districts. Furthermore, it builds on what educators know about the importance of ongoing assessment and feedback as a powerful vehicle to support improved practice. Teacher performance and student academic growth are the two key components of Ohio's evaluation system.

An apparent dip in evaluations for the most recent "Initial License Effective Year" cohort comes from the perception that any given year's evaluation results is actually a chronological view of evaluations. Rather, it's a view of the evaluations from that school year, showing four different cohorts of licensed educators. The most recently licensed cohort will eventually have more evaluation results in its second year as more educators find employment as teachers or principals.

Limitations of the Ohio Teacher Evaluation System (OTES) Data:

- 1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.
- 2. The teacher evaluation data in this report are provided by the Ohio Department of Education.
- 3. Due to ORC 3333.041(B), annual results must be masked for institutions with fewer than 10 completers with OTES data.

	Associated Teacher Evaluation Classifications							
Initial Licensure Effective Year	# Accomplished	# Skilled	# Developing	# Ineffective				
2014	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10				
2015	N<10	12	N<10	N<10				
2016	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10				
2017	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10				

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) Results for Individuals Completing Principal Preparation Programs at Ohio Northern University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Department of Education)

Description of Data:

Ohio's system for evaluating principals (Ohio's Principal Evaluation System) provides building leaders with a detailed view of their performance, with a focus on specific strengths and opportunities for improvement.

The Ohio Principal Evaluation System (OPES) data reported here are limited in that the information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.

An apparent dip in evaluations for the most recent "Initial License Effective Year" cohort comes from the perception that any given year's evaluation results is actually a chronological view of evaluations. Rather, it's a view of the evaluations from that school year, showing four different cohorts of licensed educators. The most recently licensed cohort will eventually have more evaluation results in its second year as more educators find employment as teachers or principals.

Associated Principal Evaluation Classifications							
Initial Licensure # Accomplished # Skilled # Developing # Ineffective Effective Year							
N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A						

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Field and Clinical Experiences for Candidates at Ohio Northern University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Northern University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that educator candidates complete field and clinical experiences in school settings as part of their preparation. These experiences include early and ongoing field-based opportunities and the culminating pre-service clinical experience commonly referred to as "student teaching." The specific requirements beyond the requisite statewide minimums for these placements vary by institution and by program. The information below is calculated based on data reported by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers.

Teacher Preparation Programs					
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements				
Require edTPA National Scoring from candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	Y				
Minimum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	120				
Maximum number of field/clinical hours required of candidates in teacher preparation programs at the institution	190				
Average number of weeks required to teach full-time within the student teaching experience at the institution	12				
Percentage of teacher candidates who satisfactorily completed student teaching	100%				

Principal Preparation Programs					
Field/Clinical Experience Element	Requirements				
Total number of field/clinical weeks required of principal candidates in internship	N/A				
Number of candidates who started internship	N/A				
Number of candidates who completed internship	N/A				
Percentage of principal candidates who satisfactorily completed internship	N/A				

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Ohio Educator Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Ohio Northern University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2016 to Aug 31, 2017 (Data Source: USDOE Title II Report)

Description of Data:

Ohio educator licensure requirements include passage of all requisite licensure examinations at the state determined cut score. The reported results reflect Title II data, and therefore represent pass rate data solely for initial licenses. Further, because the data are gathered from the Title II reports, there is a one year lag in accessing the data. Teacher licensure pass rate data are the only reported metric for which the data do not reflect the reporting year 2017-2018.

As of 2013, the Ohio Assessments for Educators replaced the Praxis subject assessments for initial licensure. Some Praxis II assessments are still being reported because recent program completers took those tests in or before 2013.

Teacher Licensure Tests					
Summary Rating: Effective					
Completers Tested Pass Rate					
22	100%				

Ohio Principal Licensure Examination Pass Rates at Ohio Northern University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Northern University)

Description of Data:

Ohio requires that principal candidates pass the requisite state examination to be recommended for licensure. The 2017-2018 program completer pass rates are reported by each Ohio educator preparation provider.

Principal Licensure Tests				
Completers Tested Pass Rate				
N/A	N/A			

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Value-Added Data for Students Taught by Teachers Prepared by Ohio Educator Preparation Providers at Ohio Northern University

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018

Description of Data:

Ohio's value-added data system provides information on student academic gains. As a vital component of Ohio's accountability system, districts and educators have access to an extensive array of diagnostic data through the Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS). Schools can demonstrate through value-added data that many of their students are achieving significant progress. Student growth measures also provide students and parents with evidence of the impact of their efforts. Educators and schools further use value-added data to inform instructional practices.

Limitations of the Value-Added Data:

- 1. The information in the report is for those individuals receiving their licenses with effective years of, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017.
- 2. The value-added data in this report are those reported by Ohio's Education Value-Added Assessment System (EVAAS) based on Elementary and Middle School Tests (Grades 4-8) and End-of-Course Tests for high school credit.
- 3. For Educator Preparation Providers with fewer than 10 linked teachers or principals with value-added data, only the number (N) is reported.

Value-Added Data for Ohio Northern University-Prepared Teachers

Initial Licens	ure Effective	Associated Value-Added Classifications				
Years 2014, 20	15, 2016, 2017					
Employed as Teachers	Teachers with Value- Added Data	Most Effective	Above Average	Average	Approaching Average	Least Effective
55	18	N=1 6%	N=2 11%	N=9 50%	N=1 6%	N=5 28%

Demographic Information for Schools where Ohio Northern University-Prepared Teachers with Value-Added Data Serve

Teachers Serving by School Level							
Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Level			
N=3	N=4	N/A	N=11	N/A			
17%	22%	N/A	61%	N/A			

Teachers Serving by School Type							
Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center	Career-Tech	No School Type		
N=1	N=17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6%	94%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	Teachers Serving by Overall Letter Grade of Building Value-Added						
A	B C D F NR						
N=7	N/A	N=3	N/A	N=7	N=1		
39%	N/A	17%	N/A	39%	6%		

	Teachers Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles							
High Minority Medium-High Minority Medium-Low Minority Low Minority No Minority C								
N/A	N=6	N=7	N=5	N/A				
N/A	33%	39%	28%	N/A				

Teachers Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles							
High Poverty	High Poverty Medium-High Poverty Medium-Low Poverty Low Poverty No Pover						
N/A	N=6	N=5	N=7	N/A			
N/A	33%	28%	39%	N/A			

^{*} Due to the preliminary nature of the data and staffing at ESC/district level, certain demographic variables have not been reported for some schools.

Value-Added Data for Ohio Northern University-Prepared Principals

	ure Effective 15, 2016, 2017	Princ	ipals Serving by Le	etter Grade of Overa	all Building Value-A	dded
Employed as Principals	Principals with Value- Added Data	Α	В	С	D	F
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Demographic Information for Schools where Ohio Northern University-Prepared Principals with Value-Added Data Serve

Principals Serving by School Level								
Elementary School	Middle School	Junior High School	High School	No School Level				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Principals Serving by School Type									
Community School	Public School	STEM School	Educational Service Center	Career-Tech	No School Type				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Principals Serving by Overall Letter Grade of School								
A	В	С	D	F	NR			
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Principals Serving by Minority Enrollment by Quartiles								
High Minority	Medium-High Minority	Medium-Low Minority	Low Minority	No Minority Quartile				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Principals Serving by Poverty Level by Quartiles								
High Poverty	Medium-High Poverty	Medium-Low Poverty	Low Poverty	No Poverty Quartile				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Ohio Northern University Candidate Academic Measures

(Data Source:Ohio Northern University)
Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018

Undergraduate Admission Requirements

- (1) Successful completion of a minimum of 45 semester hours. (2) Attainment of a minimum GPA of 2.50.
- (3) Attainment of a minimum ACT Composite score (or SAT conversion) of 25, or successful completion of the Praxis Core Academic Skills for Educators if the ACT Composite score is below 25. (4) Completion of all prerequisite courses with no grade less than a "C." (5) Letter of recommendation.

Post-Baccalaureate Admission Requirements

The admission process for post-graduate candidates is fundamentally the same as it is for typical undergraduate candidates. Measures include: (1) Undergraduate GPA. (2) Praxis Core Academic Skills, or ACT Composite score (or SAT conversion). Exceptions are made for candidates whose transcripts show evidence of strength (grade of B or above) in writing, reading, and mathematics. (3) Prerequisite courses if no direct equivalent is found on the transcript. (4) Letter of recommendation.

Description of Data:

The data in this section are the average scores of candidates on academic measures reported by the provider. If a measure is not applicable to a level of delivery (undergraduate, post-baccalaureate, graduate) the table reflects "N/A". In the "Dispositional Assessments and Other Measures" portion, if the provider did not indicate using a measure, OR if the institution does not offer a program at the designated level of delivery, the table reflects "N".

Teacher Preparation Programs

U=Undergraduate P=Post-Baccalaureate G=Graduate

,		Candidate	s Admitted	Candidate	s Enrolled	Candidates	Completing
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
ACT Composite	U=25	U=12	U=25.83	U=37	U=27.08	U=N<10	U=N<10
Score	P=25	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT English	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT Math	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
ACT Reading	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA - Graduate	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA - High School	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
_	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA - Transfer	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GPA -	U= 2.50	U=21	U= 3.39	U=57	U= 3.37	U=10	U= 3.14
Undergraduate	P= 2.50	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10
-	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A
GRE Composite	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A
Score	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A

		Candidate	s Admitted	Candidates Enrolled		Candidates	Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score	
GRE Quantitative	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
Gubacore	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
GRE Verbal	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
GRE Writing	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
Subscore	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
MAT	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis CORE Math	U=150	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=20	U=171.5	U=N<10	U=N<10	
	P=150	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis CORE	U=156	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=20	U=176.6	U=N<10	U=N<10	
Reading	P=156	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis CORE	U=162	U=N<10	U=N<10	U=20	U=165.1	U=N<10	U=N<10	
Writing	P=162	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	P=N<10	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis I Math	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis I Reading	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis I Writing	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Praxis II	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
0470	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
SAT Composite	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
Score	P=N/A G=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A G=N/A	P=N/A G=N/A	P=N/A G=N/A	P=N/A G=N/A	P=N/A	
CAT Overetite time		G=N/A					G=N/A	
SAT Quantitative	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	
Subscore	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
SAT Verbal	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
SAT Verbai Subscore	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	
Jupacole	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
SAT Writing	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	U=N/A	
Subscore	P=N/A	U=N/A P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	P=N/A	
0000010	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	G=N/A	
Other Cr								
		Undergraduate		Post-Baccalaureate		Graduate		
Disposition	nal Assessment		N	N		N	N	
EMPATHY/Omaha Interview		1	N		N	١	1	
Essay		1	N	ı	N	١	N	
High School Class Rank		N/A		N/A		N/A		
	Interview	1	N	N		N		
Letter	of Commitment	1	N		N	1	N	
Letter of De	commendation		<u> </u>	,	v			
Letter of Recommendation		Y		Y		N		

Other Criteria	Undergraduate	Post-Baccalaureate	Graduate
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	N/A	N	N
OAE Content Assessment	N/A	N/A	N
Portfolio	N	N	N
Prerequisite Courses	Y	Y	N
SRI Teacher Perceiver	N/A	N/A	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	N/A	N/A	N
Teacher Insight	N	N	N

Principal Preparation Programs

·		Candidates Admitted		Candidate	s Enrolled	Candidates Completing	
Academic Measure	Required Score	Number Admitted	Average Score	Number Enrolled	Average Score	Number Completed	Average Score
GPA - Undergraduate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GPA - High School	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GPA - Graduate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Math Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT Reading Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ACT English Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Quantitative Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Verbal Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SAT Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Reading	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Math	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis I Writing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Praxis II	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Composite Score	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Verbal Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Quantitative Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GRE Writing Subscore	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
MAT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Other C	riteria		, .	
		Disposition	onal Assessment			N	
		EMPATHY/	Omaha Interview			N	

Other (Criteria
Essay	N
Interview	N
Letter of Commitment	N
Letter of Recommendation	N
Myers-Briggs Type Indicator	N
Portfolio	N
Prerequisite Courses	N
SRI Teacher Perceiver	N
Superintendent Statement of Sponsorship	N
Teacher Insight	N

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Pre-Service Teacher Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018

Description of Data:

To gather information on student satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio candidates receive an invitation to complete the survey during their professional internship (student teaching). The results of this survey are reflected here. A total of 3,451 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 74 percent.

Ohio Northern University Survey Response Rate = 84.62% Total Survey Responses = 11

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	3.27	3.50
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	3.18	3.32
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	3.45	3.34
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	3.36	3.46
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	3.18	3.41
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.45	3.64
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	3.36	3.46
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	3.18	3.48
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	3.36	3.53
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and atrisk students.	3.27	3.44
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	3.18	3.37
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	3.45	3.59
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	3.18	3.31
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	3.27	3.54

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	3.36	3.55	
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	3.36	3.67	
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	3.45	3.54	
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate high expectations for all students.	3.55	3.62	
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	3.36	3.51	
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	3.55	3.73	
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	3.36	3.42	
22	My teacher licensure program prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	3.00	3.51	
23	My teacher licensure program collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	3.36	3.52	
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	2.82	3.23	
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	2.73	3.08	
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	2.45	3.00	
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	3.00	3.35	
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	2.91	3.21	
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	3.36	3.59	
30	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	3.18	2.93	
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.55	3.67	
32	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	3.55	3.42	
33	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	3.55	3.68	
34	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.55	3.65	
35	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	3.55	3.62	
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	3.45	3.52	

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	3.45	3.50
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	3.00	3.26
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	3.18	3.31
40	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	3.00	3.34
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	3.45	3.63
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	3.09	3.51
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	3.45	3.63
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	3.36	3.53
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	3.18	3.54
46	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	3.45	3.63
47	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	2.82	3.42
48	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	2.64	3.20
49	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	3.18	3.41

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Statewide Survey of OHIO Resident Educators' Reflections on their Educator Preparation Program

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018

Description of Data:

To gather information on alumni satisfaction with the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education administers a survey aligned with the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. All Ohio Resident Educators who completed their preparation in Ohio receive an invitation to complete the survey in the fall semester as they enter Year 2 of the Resident Educator program. A total of 305 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of eight percent.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
1	My teacher licensure program prepared me with knowledge of research on how students learn.	N<10	3.48	
2	My teacher licensure program prepared me to recognize characteristics of gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students in order to plan and deliver appropriate instruction.	N<10	3.18	
3	My teacher licensure program prepared me with high levels of knowledge and the academic content I plan to teach.	N<10	3.32	
4	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify instructional strategies appropriate to my content area.	N<10	3.37	
5	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of linking interdisciplinary experiences.	N<10	3.32	
6	My teacher licensure program prepared me to align instructional goals and activities with Ohio's academic content standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	N<10	3.53	
7	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use assessment data to inform instruction.	N<10	3.40	
8	My teacher licensure program prepared me to clearly communicate learning goals to students.	N<10	3.41	
9	My teacher licensure program prepared me to apply knowledge of how students learn, to inform instruction.	N<10	3.47	
10	My teacher licensure program prepared me to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and atrisk students.		3.28	
11	My teacher licensure program prepared me to identify strategies to increase student motivation and interest in topics of study.	N<10	3.24	
12	My teacher licensure program prepared me to create learning situations in which students work independently, collaboratively, and/or a whole class.	N<10	3.43	
13	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use strategies for effective classroom management.	N<10	3.06	

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
14	My teacher licensure program prepared me to communicate clearly and effectively.	N<10	3.46	
15	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand the importance of communication with families and caregivers.	N<10	3.41	
16	My teacher licensure program prepared me to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	N<10	3.58	
17	My teacher licensure program prepared me to use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments.	N<10	3.42	
18	My teacher licensure program prepared me prepared me to understand students' diverse cultures, language skills, and experiences.	N<10	3.34	
19	My teacher licensure program prepared me to treat all students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	N<10	3.59	
20	My teacher licensure program prepared me prepared me to use technology to enhance teaching and student learning.	N<10	3.28	
21	My teacher licensure program prepared me prepared me to collaborate with colleagues and members of the community when and where appropriate.	N<10	3.41	
22	My teacher licensure program prepared me collected evidence of my performance on multiple measures to monitor my progress.	N<10	3.36	
23	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Licensure Program standards for my discipline (e.g. NAEYC, CEC, NCTM).	N<10	3.14	
24	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the operation of Ohio schools as delineated in the Ohio Department of Education School Operating Standards.	N<10	3.03	
25	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the requirements for the Resident Educator License.	N<10	3.03	
26	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession.	N<10	3.24	
27	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Standards for Professional Development.	N<10	3.11	
28	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Ohio Academic Content Standards, including Ohio's Learning Standards.	N<10	3.44	
29	My teacher licensure program provided me with knowledge of the Value-added Growth Measure as defined by the Ohio State Board of Education.	N<10	2.73	
30	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	N<10	3.55	
31	My teacher licensure program provided field experiences in a variety of settings (urban, suburban, and rural).	N<10	3.30	
32	My teacher licensure program provided student teaching experience(s) that supported my development as an effective educator focused on student learning.	N<10	3.53	
33	My teacher licensure program provided cooperating teachers who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	N<10	3.51	
34	My teacher licensure program provided university supervisors who supported me through observation and conferences (face-to-face or via electronic media).	N<10	3.51	
35	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse students (including gifted students, students with disabilities, and at-risk students).	N<10	3.31	

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
36	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to understand students' diverse cultures, languages, and experiences.	N<10	3.38	
37	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work with diverse teachers.	N<10	3.18	
38	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to interact with diverse faculty.	N<10	3.22	
39	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to work and study with diverse peers.	N<10	3.29	
40	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program demonstrated in-depth knowledge of their field.	N<10	3.55	
41	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used effective teaching methods that helped promote learning.	N<10	3.46	
42	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program modeled respect for diverse populations.	N<10	3.55	
43	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program integrated diversity-related subject matter within coursework.	N<10	3.41	
44	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program used technology to facilitate teaching and learning.	N<10	3.40	
45	Overall, the faculty in my teacher licensure program conducted themselves in a professional manner.	N<10	3.59	
46	My teacher licensure program provided clearly articulated policies published to facilitate progression to program completion.	N<10	3.33	
47	My teacher licensure program provided opportunities to voice concerns about the program.	N<10	3.13	
48	My teacher licensure program provided advising to facilitate progression to program completion.	N<10	3.31	
49	My teacher licensure program provided prepared me with the knowledge and skills necessary to enter the classroom as a Resident Educator.	N<10	3.27	

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Principal Intern Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018

Description of Data:

To gather information the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation providers, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 450 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 44 percent.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
1	My program prepared me to lead and facilitate continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N/A	3.55
2	My program prepared me to lead the processes of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	N/A	3.54
3	My program prepared me to anticipate, monitor, and respond to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N/A	3.53
4	My program prepared me to lead instruction.	N/A	3.47
5	My program prepared me to ensure the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (e.g. national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N/A	3.48
6	My program prepared me to ensure effective instructional practices meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N/A	3.50
7	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of data by self and staff.	N/A	3.56
8	My program prepared me to advocate for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities, and at-risk students.	N/A	3.57
9	My program prepared me to encourage and facilitate effective use of research by self and staff.	N/A	3.50
10	My program prepared me to support staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development and instructional practices.	N/A	3.51
11	My program prepared me to establish and maintain procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N/A	3.57
12	My program prepared me to establish and maintain a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N/A	3.49
13	My program prepared me to allocate resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N/A	3.44
14	My program prepared me to uphold and model professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct	N/A	3.60
15	My program prepared me to share leadership with staff, students, parents, and community members.	N/A	3.64

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
16	My program prepared me to establish effective working teams and developing structures for collaboration between teachers and educational support personnel.	N/A	3.59
17	My program prepared me to foster positive professional relationships among staff.	N/A	3.64
18	My program prepared me to support and advance the leadership capacity of educators.	N/A	3.59
19	My program prepared me to utilize good communication skills, both verbal and written, with all stakeholder audiences.	N/A	3.64
20	My program prepared me to connect the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N/A	3.42
21	My program prepared me to involve parents and communities in improving student learning.	N/A	3.50
22	My program prepared me to use community resources to improve student learning.	N/A	3.45
23	My program prepared me to establish expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N/A	3.50

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Principal Internship Mentor Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018

Description of Data:

To gather information the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation programs, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to individuals who serve as mentors to Ohio principal interns. Questions on the survey are aligned with the Ohio Standards for Principals, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 174 respondents completed the survey statewide for a response rate of 19 percent.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average					
1	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading and facilitating continuous improvement efforts within a school building setting.	N/A	3.33					
2	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand leading the process of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all students and staff.	process of setting, monitoring, and achieving specific and challenging goals for all						
3	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand anticipating, monitoring, and responding to educational developments affecting the school and its environment.	N/A	3.32					
4	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand ensuring the instructional content being taught is aligned with the academic standards (i.e., national, Common Core, state) and curriculum priorities of the school and district.	N/A	3.39					
5	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understandEnsuring effective instructional practices that meet the needs of all students at high levels of learning.	N/A	3.39					
6	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand advocating for high levels of learning for all students, including students identified as gifted, students with disabilities and at-risk students.	N/A	3.39					
7	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of data by self and staff.	N/A	3.46					
8	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand encouraging and facilitating effective use of research by self and staff.	N/A	3.35					
9	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand supporting staff in planning and implementing research-based professional development.	N/A	3.33					
10	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining procedures and practices supporting staff and students with a safe environment conducive to learning.	N/A	3.45					
11	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand establishing and maintaining a nurturing school environment addressing the physical and mental health needs of all.	N/A	3.45					
12	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand allocating resources, including technology, to support student and staff learning.	N/A	3.39					

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average
13	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand upholding and modeling professional ethics; local, state, and national policies; and, legal codes of conduct.	N/A	3.53
14	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand connecting the school with the community through print and electronic media.	N/A	3.30
15	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand involving parents and communities in improving student learning.	N/A	3.35
16	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand using community resources to improve student learning.	N/A	3.28
17	The principal preparation program prepared the school leader candidate to understand etablishing expectations for using culturally responsive practices that acknowledge and value diversity.	N/A	3.33
18	The school leader candidate's preparation program provided me with training on how to mentor the school leader candidate.	N/A	2.74
19	I participated in and/or accessed the provided mentor training and/or materials.	N/A	2.88
20	The training by the school leader's preparation program adequately prepared me to mentor the school leader candidate.	N/A	2.14

Ohio Educator Preparation Provider Performance Report Ohio Northern University

Employer Perceptions of Ohio EPP Programs Survey Results

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Department of Higher Education administered survey of Employers of Ohio Educators)

Description of Data:

To gather information on the quality of preparation provided by their educator preparation providers, the Ohio Department of Higher Education distributes a survey to employers of Ohio educators. Questions on the survey are aligned with Ohio's Learning Standards, Ohio licensure requirements, and elements of national accreditation. A total of 141 respondents completed the survey statewide.

1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Agree 4=Strongly Agree

No.	Question	Institution Average	State Average	
1	The institution prepares its graduates to understand student learning and development.	N<10	3.39	
2	The institution prepares its graduates to respect the diversity of the students they teach.	N<10	3.43	
3	The institution prepares its graduates to know and understand the content area for which they have instructional responsibility.	N<10	3.45	
4	The institution prepares its graduates to understand and use content-specific instructional strategies to effectively teach the central concepts and skills of the discipline.	N<10	3.35	
5	The institution prepares its graduates to be knowledgeable about assessment types, their purposes, and the data they generate.	N<10	3.22	
6	The institution prepares its graduates to analyze data to monitor student progress and learning.	N<10	3.12	
7	The institution prepares its graduates to use data to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction.	N<10	3.13	
8	The institution prepares its graduates to align their instructional goals and activities with school and district priorities.	N<10	3.23	
9	The institution prepares its graduates to differentiate instruction to support the learning needs of all students.	N<10	3.20	
10	The institution prepares its graduates to treat students fairly and establish an environment that is respectful, supportive, and caring.	N<10	3.47	
11	The institution prepares its graduates to maintain an environment that is conducive to learning for all students.	N<10	3.42	
12	The institution prepares its graduates to communicate clearly and effectively.	N<10	3.38	
13	The institution prepares its graduates to collaborate effectively with other teachers, administrators, and district staff.	N<10	3.38	
14	The institution prepares its graduates to understand, uphold, and follow professional ethics, policies, and legal codes of professional conduct.	N<10	3.45	
15	The institution prepares its graduates to assume responsibility for professional growth.	N<10	3.34	

National Accreditation Status

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Department of Higher Education)

Description of Data:

All educator preparation programs (EPPs) in Ohio are required to be accredited by either the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE), the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC), or their successor agency, the Council for Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). Accreditation is a mechanism to ensure the quality of an institution and its programs. The accreditation of an institution and/or program helps employers evaluate the professional preparation of job applicants.

Accrediting Agency	NCATE
Date of Last Review	October 2012
Accreditation Status	Accredited

Teacher Residency Program

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Northern University)

Description of Data:

The Resident Educator Program in Ohio encompasses a robust four-year teacher development system. The data below show the persistence of Ohio Educator Preparation Provider graduates through the program.

Data from this table captures a year-to-year snapshot of the persistence of Ohio Educators Preparation Provider graduates through the program. Corrections to prior year reporting may be captured in the current year's reporting. Data used to create this "snapshot" table is sourced not only from the current year's resident educator completion data results, but also prior year results because a Resident Educator may fail to complete all the program year requirements within the same academic year.

Numerous scenarios were taken into account and discussions were held on how each should impact the counts. Some of the scenarios addressed in the design of the table are as follows:

- 1. A normal scenario, where a student is reported each year and is listed as completed. In this case, we only include them in the Entering/Persisting counts for the current year.
- 2. A scenario where a student is reported as entering one year, but not as completed, but then reported the following year as completing the previous year and current year. In this case, we include them in the Persisting count for the previous year, but not the Entering count. We also include them in the Entering and Persisting counts for the current year.
- 3. A scenario where a student is not reported one year, but reported with records for the previous year and the current year the following year (both as completed). In this scenario, we include them in both the Entering and Persisting counts for both years.

Ohio EPP Program Completers Persisting in the State Resident Educator Program who were Prepared at Ohio Northern University

Initial Licensure Effective Year	censure fective		1		ar 2	Residency Year 3			Residency Year 4			
	Entering Persisting		Entering	Pers	isting	Entering	Pers	isting	Entering	Com	oleting	
2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	6	120%	13	13	100%
2015	1	1	100%	3	3	100%	16	16	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
2016	5	5	100%	10	10	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2017	10	10	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Excellence and Innovation Initiatives

Reporting Period from Sept 1, 2017 to Aug 31, 2018 (Data Source: Ohio Northern University)

Description of Data:

This section reflects self-reported information from Ohio Educator Preparation Providers on a maximum of three initiatives geared to increase excellence and support innovation in the preparation of Ohio educators.

Teacher Preparation Programs

Initiative:	Data-Informed Field Experience Goal Development
Purpose:	Provide teacher candidates with an additional means of using data to inform practice
Goal:	Candidates track their progress toward goals set for their field experiences over their time in our program
Number of Participants:	100
Strategy:	Teacher candidates will use data from their previous field experiences as well as the performance evaluation rubric to create a field experience goal for the semester. Goals are submitted into our electronic portfolio system and tracked over the semester by the candidate and supported by mentor teachers and university faculty.
Demonstration of Impact:	We are currently developing a reporting tool for candidates to monitor their growth over time, and develop a student teaching goal based on past performance.